

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/667,720	09/22/2003	Steffen Sonnekalb	J&R-1126	J&R-1126 9696	
24131	7590 07/19/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
LERNER (P O BOX 24	GREENBERG STEME	PAN, DA	PAN, DANIEL H		
	OOD, FL 33022-2480	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2183		
			DATE MAILED: 07/19/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Apı	olication No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/	667,720	SONNEKALB, STEFFEN				
	Office Action Summary	Exa	miner	Art Unit				
			niel Pan	2183				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	nication appears	on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE IN Insigns of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this coming period for reply is specified above, the maximum is reto reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DATE (s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). munication. tatutory period will apply will, by statute, cause	OF THIS COMMUNICATION In no event, however, may a reply be tim by and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this or D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)[🛛	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <i>15 May 2</i> (006					
•	·		s action is non-final.					
3)								
٠,۵	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims	·						
4)⊠	4) 🖾 Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are pending in the application.							
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)🖂	Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicati	on Papers							
9)	The specification is objected to by the	ne Examiner.						
•	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
	application from the Internation	•	• • • •					
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action	on for a list of th	e certified copies not receive	:d.				
Attachmen	' '		- -	(576.446)				
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (I	PTO-948\	4) ∐ Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) 🔲 Inform	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or r No(s)/Mail Date		5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:		O-152)			

Art Unit: 2183

1. Claims 1-9 remain for examination.

- 2. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Komura (6,216,232).
- 3. As to the amended feature of pipeline in claim 1, the change in pipeline instruction does not affect the original scope of instructions because original claim 1 already taught instruction execution pipeline (see claim 1, lines 3-4).
- 4. Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Douglas et al. (6,609,193).
- 5. The rejections are maintained and incorporated by reference the last office action on 02/22/06.
- 6. The response filed on 05/15/06 has been fully considered but is not persuasive. In the remarks, applicant argued that both Komura and Douglas made decision as to whether or not to stop the pipeline stage during execution upon certain conditions while applicant is directed to no decision-making, and the pipeline instructions stipulates which particular pipeline stage should be stopped without a decision making during execution.
- 7. As to applicant's remark above, nowhere does applicant's clam recite that the stipulating does not have decision making, or the like, applicant is reminded that unclaimed features cannot be used to overcome the prior art (e.g. see CCPA In re
 Lundenberg & Zuschlag, 113, USPQ 530, 534 (1957)). Nevertheless, Douglas taught

Application/Control Number: 10/667,720

Art Unit: 2183

his pipeline decode needed to stall the instruction pipeline at buffer full (see col.6, lines 60-67). The buffer full was a default, and did not involve with decision making.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dan Pan whose new number 571 272 4172. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 8:30 AM to 4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chan, can be reached on the new number 571 272 4162. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703 306 5404.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Rétrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

Application/Control Number: 10/667,720 Page 4

Art Unit: 2183

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

21 Century Strategic Plan

DENIEL H. DAN PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP